ROYAL BOROUGH OF WINDSOR AND MAIDENHEAD PANEL UPDATE

Maidenhead Panel

Application

20/01409/OUT

No.:

Location: Statesman House Stafferton Way And Braywick Gate

Braywick Road Maidenhead

Proposal:

Hybrid planning application (part-outline, part-full) for mixed-use redevelopment of the

site including:

Outline planning application (with all matters reserved) for the demolition of existing building (Braywick Gate) and erection of two buildings to provide for office floorspace and residential floorspace in addition to flexible commercial floorspace, car and cycle

parking, landscaping, public realm, new access and associated works.

Full planning application for the demolition of existing building (Statesman House) and erection of an office building, including car and cycle parking, landscaping, public realm, new access and associated works including access works to Braywick Gate

prior to its demolition and temporary landscaping and car parking.

Applicant: Royal London Mutual Insurance Society Ltd

Agent: Mr Tony Gallagher

Parish/Ward: Maidenhead Unparished/Oldfield

If you have a question about this report, please contact: Tony Franklin on 01628 796155 or at tony.franklin@rbwm.gov.uk

1. SUMMARY

- 1.1 Members have been sent a Briefing Document on the proposed development by the applicants, Royal London. The written content of the document is factually correct. The illustrative views of the scheme included in the document are for information only as they have not been verified.
- 1.2 The second paragraph on page 7 of the published report is missing most of its final sentence. It should correctly read: As part of agreed off-site works the existing pedestrian crossing will be stopped up and the developer will contribute to the value of delivering the RBWM's preferred pedestrian facility on Stafferton Way.
- **1.3** Paragraph 11.7 of the published report is also missing its ending. It should correctly read:

Overall, it is considered that the limited impacts of granting planning permission, as described above, would be more than outweighed by the substantial benefits of providing additional housing, including policy compliant affordable housing, together with the provision of policy compliant employment floorspace, improved connectivity both through and around the site and, significant improvements to the public realm.

There is no change to the recommendation in the main report.